Subject: Re: unexlained "turbulences" on Investment related account 83184160954

From: Steffen Wolfrum (greenpower1wo@yahoo.co.uk)

Sandile.Duma@drdlr.gov.za; busisiwe.mdunge@drdlr.gov.za; pico.moshodi@drdlr.gov.za; sifiso.nzuza@drdlr.gov.za; rowena francis@drdlr.gov.za; krish.kumar@durban.gov.za; mayors.pa@durban.gov.za; b REENPOWERTHO To:

Cc:

berlin.admin@dirco.gov.za; schmedingf@dirco.gov.za; president@po.gov.za; ESTABLISHED IN UK / SOUTHA. & GERMA. MAIN RESIDENCE: 4 BRENDON AV.

07708442305 E-Fax:02087112773 mmarschall@transparency.org; ulf.bjoerner@berliner-sparkasse.de; Bcc:

rould do and you did. Wednesday, 29 March 2017, 11:44 Date:

Dear S. Duma

Thank you reporting back to me again. I trust you did what you could do and you did indeed help to conclude to the following:

1. The deeds office appears having been ill-informed by other South African internal sources, nature unclear, to the fact there had been no fair trail in a South African Court the investor could have presented his concerns and arguments to but most importantly there being no proof, whatsoever. which could have supported a lawful implementation (law enforcement) of aforesaid Court-suggestion. Instead the Alleged Plaintiff (claimant) directed and advised on the 15th August 2013 Mr Steffen Wolfrum being the enrolled responsible "owner" of 15A Blairgowrie Road with the request to pay issued VAT invoices till 2016, since when the investment-related account fell into unexplainable accountancy till to today. The account had been paid according to this single financial South African source of evidence, accessible to the investor to South Africa, and this account 83184160954 held with the eThekwini Municipality of Durban having been in credit over many years, paid from foreign funds to South Africa directly as well as indirectly via a South African close to the Investor. Suggestions made by member of staff at the Municipality, being the alleged, advised Claimant, somebody else might have paid into this account in order to "facilitate" a sale contradicts the information, which appears having been provided to the deeds office and would under no circumstances justify the statement made the Investment to South Africa went into "sale in execution" according to date presented by the South African deeds-office. In fact any sale, regardless what nature/character it might be, should have a financial background, backing such transaction and at completion-date not just the rights but the responsibilities should transfer to the purchaser, without exemption, any overdue or surplus paid back in fair and transparent manner to each assigning parties' lawful benefit. Non of that appears having been the case before or on the 19th February 2013 when I quote; "Simafield Property Limited sold it on the to C. P. Cele and registered under T11263/2014. Mr C. P. Cele is the current owner of the said property". In fact the foreign Investor to South Africa had been invoiced for services provided to his investment for many years before and after the 19th Feb 2013 but being denied access to land and property there as well as any financial benefit from it. Last mentioned matter of fact makes it impossible to define a "sale

in execution" but perhaps a *confiscation without compensation* on what ground being unclear, till today.

2.) Nevertheless it appears the recording deeds-office having been ill-informed, this South African Government regulated office failed to check and to detect before allowing the second transfer entry (after Steffen Wolfrum) on 19th February 2013 the true and sole unique ownership of "Simafield Property". Noting that with the new entry to C.P.Cele, Standard Bank South Africa appears as a 100% creditor being the South African regulated Financial Service Provider who knew best of all, 15A Blairgowrie Road having been financed from off South African shores in full, by a Non South African Resident the inconvenience reaches a dimension difficult to explain and to justify differently than we appear looking at a deeply concerning South African internal matter the Investor would not have any rights to addressing/ interfere with differently than from off South African shores. 3.) summarising 1.) & 2.) above I concluded the deeds entries provided by Standard Bank South Africa not before 8th June 2016, confirmed yesterday by the South African deeds-office administrating the deeds roll, appears showing error-entries after the Steffen Wolfrum entry to the matter of facts aforementioned and other evidence before me not even mentioned here.

Consequently I would suggest we are indeed not looking at a "private matter" as it had been advised to me by South African Officials but a South African Government related matter, of potential.

Please trust that I got introduced to Southern Africa and later to South Africa in a peaceful mission working as a Volunteer to enhance education there. It is also for that fact that I can not interfere in Sovereignty internal matters because that exceeds my right as an Investor to the Republic of effect but I am confident aforesaid inconvenience has develop a potential positive for being good not just for South Africans but for the entire International Community that I have full faith in what I am looking at as the bad, unacceptable, had only been generated by "error" to the benefit of a few but not the South African Nation as a respected integrating Community to other Sovereignties of the uniting Nations, quite?

I will file and publicise this mail in oder to meet transparency, at the highest, all parties of affect as well as any other interested shall have a full record of what had been said and found out as well as being reminded the importance of sustainability in life and communal development regardless its size or quality.

Sincerely Yours

S. Wolfrum T/As greenpower1wo www.greenpower1wo.co.uk s.wolfrum@greenpower1wo.co.uk

This e-mail message is confidential and for use by the addressee/addressees, only. If the message should be received by anyone other than the addressee/addressees, please delete the message from your computer, immediately. Internet e-mails are not necessarily secure. Steffen Wolfrum/greenpower1wo does not accept any responsibility for changes amendments or alterations made to this message after it was sent.

Whilst all reasonable care has been endeavoured avoiding the transmission of viruses, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that the onward transmission, opening or use of this message and any attachments will not adversely affect its systems or data. No responsibility is accepted by Steffen Wolfrum/greenpower1wo in this regard and the recipient should carry out such virus and other checks as it considers appropriately.

Steffen Wolfrum/greenpower1wo may monitor or record correspondence for quality and training purposes. Written documents, including electronic communication, may be filed for future reference or taken as evidence at any prospective/relevant times.

Please, also bare in mind, any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Therefore, you should be reminded, hereby, your duty to meet relevant National and International legislation, when using this facility, at all times! Please also be kindly made aware that some extracts of carefully selected mails might be publicised on greenpower1wo's web-site.